



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,564	07/14/2004	Shinkichi Ikeda	MAT-8574US	2485
23122	7590	06/27/2007		
RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			EXAMINER LAM, DUNG LE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/501,564

Applicant(s)

IKEDA ET AL.

Examiner

Dung Lam

Art Unit

2617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dung Lam.

(3) Lawrence Ashery.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 36.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 36 was determined to contain allowable subject matter. See attached reasons for allowance for claim 36.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required DL

*Allowable Subject Matter*

Claim 36 cites, “a mobile terminal according to claim 1, wherein the mobile terminal measures a composite time corresponding to the mobile terminal being on the other subnet, and when the composite time reaches the threshold period, the mobile terminal changes to the other one of the home agent apparatus, corresponding to the other subnet. Prior art of records fail to specifically teach a mobile terminal that measures a composite time it stays on the new subnet for a threshold period of time before it initiates the changing of its main home agent.

Claim 36 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim **20** and any intervening claims. Note, although claim 36 is currently dependent on claim 1, claim 36 is claiming a mobile terminal and thus interpreted to be dependent on claim 20.